

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,502	02/02/2001	Keiichi Kusumoto	10873.644US01	3298	
7590 03/01/2004			EXAMINER		
Merchant & Gould P.C.			PATEL, PARESH H		
P.O. Box 2903					
Minneapolis, N	MN 55402-0903	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2829		
			D. TT. 14.11 PD. 02/01/0004		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	cation No. Applicant(s)					
		09/773,5	502	KUSUMOTO, KE	KUSUMOTO, KEIICHI			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Paresh I		2829	<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no elation. ys, a reply within the sta y period will apply and voy statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a r atutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON plication to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	dy. communication.			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>25 August 200</u>	3 and 19 Noven	<u>nber 2003</u> .				
, —	,	This action is r						
3)								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)	4) Claim(s) 67-74 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 67-74 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
-	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			C 440(a) (d) a = (6)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachmen	t(s)		•					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No nformal Patent Application (PT				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) Species of fig. 1,
- (2) Species of fig. 2,
- (3) Species of fig. 5,
- (4) Species of fig. 8,
- (5) Species of fig. 11,
- (6) Species of fig. 14 drawn to fig. 2,
- (7) Species of fig. 15 drawn to fig. 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 67 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,502

Art Unit: 2829

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the office of Douglas P. Mueller on 02/18//2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-1968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

Art Unit: 2829

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Paresh Patel Feb. 20, 2004